# **Profiles of urinal exosomal miRNAs derived from bladder cancer**

Ērika Bitiņa-Barlote<sup>1,2</sup>, Juris Plonis<sup>1,2</sup>, Margarita Andrejeva<sup>3</sup>, Egils Vjaters<sup>1,2</sup>, Jānis Gardovskis<sup>1</sup>,<br>Zanda Daneberga<sup>1</sup>, Edvīns Miklaševičs<sup>4</sup>, Miki Nakazawa-Miklaševiča<sup>1</sup> , Edvīns Miklaševičs $^4$ , Miki Nakazawa-Miklaševiča $^1$ 

 *Institute of Oncology and Molecular Genetics, Rīga Stradiņš University, Latvia Pauls Stradiņš Clinical University Hospital, Centre of Urology, Riga, Latvia Center of Oncology, Pauls Stradiņš Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia Department of Biology and Microbiology, Rīga Stradiņš University, Latvia*

Citation: Bitiņa-Barlote Ē, Plonis J, Andrejeva M, et al. Profiles of urinal exosomal miRNAs derived from bladder cancer. Cent European J Urol. 2024; 77: 361-374. 

### Article history

Submitted: Dec. 10, 2023 Accepted: Jun. 21, 2024 Published online: Sep. 30, 2024

**Introduction** Exosomes contain nucleic acids and proteins inside of them. These are suggested as cell- -cell communication materials and it is considered that they can modulate the status of other cells. **Material and methods** To understand the bladder cancer (BC) related exosomal microRNAs (miRNAs), we compared the 752 urine exosomal miRNAs in healthy control ( $n = 7$ ), low grade (LG) BC ( $n = 6$ ) and high grade (HG) BC ( $n = 6$ ) by RT-qPCR.

**Results** The differential expressing (DE) urine exosomal miRNAs (2 > fold regulation) were 96 and 78 in LG and HG, respectively. Our exosomal miRNAs profiles cover many miRNAs which have been reported in BC patients' tissues and other biofluids. Most DE exosomal miRNAs were up-regulated in the profiles. Seven up-regulated exosomal miRNAs in the LG group (miR-28-5p, miR-16-5p, miR-28-3p, miR-24-3p, miR-25-3p, miR-19b-3p and miR10b-5p) and 3 miRNAs in the HG group (miR-150-5p, miR-28-5p and miR28-3p) were found as directly *TP53* targeting. Twenty-two and 18 *PTEN* targeting miRNAs were observed in up-regulated miRNAs of LG and HG. The target genes of these exosomal miRNAs and their interaction network predicted that the *TP53* is the strongest hub gene in both BC groups exosomal miRNA networks. Several DE miRNAs were found that could potentially be used as biomarkers for the diagnosis of BC.

### Corresponding author Ērika Bitiņa-Barlote,

Pauls Stradiņš Clinical University Hospital, Centre of Urology, 13 Pilsonu St. LV-1002 Riga, Latvia, Erika.Bitina-Barlote@rsu.lv

**Conclusions** Profiles of urinal exosomal miRNAs derived from BC manifested potentially epigenetic regulation of the *TP53* and *PTEN* genes as compared to other oncogenes and tumour suppressors.

### Key Words: miRNAs  $\circ$  bladder cancer  $\circ$  biomarker  $\circ$  liquid biopsy  $\circ$  biofluids  $\circ$  urine exosomes

## **INTRODUCTION**

Bladder cancer (BC) is a prevalent global concern, ranking as the tenth most common cancer, and it is often diagnosed in advanced stages, contributing to high mortality rates [1, 2]. Existing diagnostic and follow-up methods, both noninvasive gold standards and invasive procedures like cystoscopy, have limitations, necessitating the search for new diagnostic markers [3]. Exosomal microRNAs (exomiRs) are potential candidates to improve the diagnosis of BC.

A recent study emphasised the crucial role of the tumour microenvironment (TME) in tumour development. The TME comprises not only tumour cells but also elements of the extracellular matrix and non-malignant cells [4]. Exosomes, small extracellular vesicles, play a pivotal role in TME signalling. Produced by various cells, including tumour cells, exosomes carry biologically active cargo molecules, such as proteins, transcription factors, mRNAs, and microRNAs (miRNAs) [4, 5], which are small, non-coding RNAs involved in post-transcriptional gene regulation [6].

Cent European J Urol. 2024; 77: 361-374 doi: 10.5173/ceju.2023.279.R1

MiRNAs play a significant role in tumorigenesis by binding to target mRNAs, leading to the degradation of the target mRNA or inhibiting its translation [6, 7]. Compared to mRNAs, miRNAs exhibit stability in various samples, including urine [8–10], making them potential diagnostic markers. Aberrant miRNA expression in cancer tissues has been reported, and the presence of these miRNAs in urine suggests a potential reflection of cancer cell miRNA composition [11, 12]. The shared environment of urinary bladder cells through urine implies communication through exosomes, contributing to heterogeneity and oncotransformation [13, 14].

To improve our understanding of the cell-to-cell communication of bladder cells in patients with lowgrade (LG) and high-grade BC (HG) and the possible impact of the urine exomiRs on the oncotransformation of normal cells, profiles of differentially expressed urine exomiRs were analysed. Striking differences in miRNA cargo between BC and control groups were identified. These differences could be further exploited as a tumour biomarker for further diagnostics. Such a biomarker would not only be able to increase sensitivity and specificity compared to the currently available, inferior methods, but also allow an understanding of the tumour type, providing a wider view compared to a biopsy from a single site, thus providing timely and appropriate treatment.

### **Material and methods**

Between 2019 and 2021, freshly voided urine samples were prospectively collected from patients with BC and controls at Pauls Stradins University Hospital. Urine was collected before performing transurethral resection of bladder (TURB). Collected urine samples were centrifuged within 24 hours of collection at 3.0 rcf (4.4 rpm) for 5 minutes and 30 seconds at room temperature and then separated into supernatant that was stored at –80˚C until use. Patients were stratified into 3 groups: group 1 with histologically proven Ta or T1 low-grade tumour  $(n = 6)$ , group 2 with T2 high-grade tumour  $(n = 6)$ , and group  $3 - a$  control group  $(n = 7)$ . Patients were staged and graded according to TNM classification and WHO criteria.

### **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The study included patients over the age of 50 years with pathohistologically proven primary or recurrent bladder tumour, who underwent TURB or cystectomy and had available medical records. In patients who did not have pathohistologically confirmed BC, a urine sample was not analysed, and they were

excluded from the study. Patients with high-grade, non-muscle-invasive BC (NMIBC) and low-grade, muscle-invasive BC (MIBC) were not included in the analysis. The control group comprised patients of matched age, with no history of prior oncology, urine analysis without signs of inflammation or infection, and no clinically significant changes in blood tests. Patients with incomplete data in the medical records, history of other current oncological disease or previous upper urinary tract cancer, diabetes mellitus, autoimmune diseases, inflammation, and infections except for urinary tract infection in the case of BC were excluded from the study.

### **Exosome isolation and RT-qPCR**

Exosome isolation prior to miRNA isolation was performed in accordance with the protocol by miRCRURY Exosome Cell/Urine/CSF Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany, Cat. No./ID: 76743). The urine samples were thawed on ice and re-centrifuged for 10 min at 10.000 g at room temperature to remove residual cell debris. Subsequently, the exosomes were isolated from  $1960 \mu$ l of urine supernatant divided into 2 tubes. Further miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany, Cat. No./ID: 17004) was used for miRNA and total RNA isolation from urine exosomes according to the manufacturer's protocol. Each sample was spiked with synthetic UniSp2, UniSp4, UniSp5 RNA mix (Qiagen, USA, Cat.No./ID: 339390), and purified RNA was eluted once in 50  $\mu$ l of RNase-free water. The MiRCURY LNA RT Kit (Qiagen, USA, Cat.No./ID: 339340) was used for reverse transcription and polyadenylation of miRNA to cDNA according to the manufacturer's instructions. We used  $4 \mu l$  of RNA template instead of 2  $\mu$ l, then incubated at 42°C for 60 min and 95°C for 5 min, and then held at 4°C. Incubation was performed with a peqSTAR thermal cycler. For reverse transcription, miRCURY LNA miRNA

PCR Starter Kit (Qiagen, USA, Cat. No. / ID: 339320) and miRCURY LNA SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, USA, Cat. No. / ID: 339347) were used. Predesigned MiRCURY LNA miRNA miRNome PCR Panels (Qiagen, USA, Cat. No. / ID: 339322) were used for miRNA identification. RT-qPCR data using the miRNA panel was processed with Applied Biosystems® ViiA™ 7 Real-Time PCR according to the manufacturer's protocol. Automatic threshold and baseline were used for all the miRNAs to record the CT value.

### **RT-qPCR data analysis**

For further data analysis of miRNA panels, mi-RCURY miRNA PCR Data Analysis v1.0 was used. Data normalisation was carried out using a global

mean normalisation method. The p-values of differences in miRNA expression levels between controls and cancers were calculated based on a Student's t-test of the replicate  $2^{\wedge}(-\Delta CT)$  values for each miRNA in the control group and cancer group. The p-value calculation used is based on a parametric, two-sample equal variance, unpaired, and twotailed distribution calculation. GeNorm, which is an embedded module of miRCURY miRNA PCR Data Analysis v1, was used for the identification of internal control miRNAs with expression levels correlating with the global mean CT values, and that most resemble the mean CT value.

The differentially expressed miRNAs in LG and HG were compared with the control group and were filtered by the condition of the fold exchange and p-values.

Sample size calculation for miRNA panels to identify differentially releasing miRNAs in urine was performed using the referenced article [15]. Statistical power was set 90% to detect two-fold difference in 700 miRNAs. A 0.05 significance level was determined. The calculated minimal sample size is 5 vs. 5. All raw data are available upon request via the following link: https://doi.org/10.48510/FK2/MQ8K26.

### **MiRNA target analysis and pathway analysis**

The list of the statistically significant differentially expressed miRNAs were applied to the miEAA (https://ccb-compute2.cs.uni-saarland.de/mieaa2/) [16] for miRNA enrichment analysis. The result was filtered by the FDR and picked up the subcategories with the top 5 highest number of observed miRNAs. Prediction of the miRNA targets was performed by miRTargetLink 2.0 (https://ccb-compute.cs.uni-

**Table 1.** *Clinical-pathological parameters of the study cohorts*



HG – high grade; LG – low grade

saarland.de/mirtargetlink2) [17]. The interaction of predicted targets was analysed by STRING (https:// string-db.org/) [18]. The hub genes were analysed by CytoNCA and CytoHubba, which are plugins of Cytoscape [19] with the network centrality of degree, betweenness, closeness and eigenvector (CytoNCA), and EPC, MCC, MNC, and stress (CytoHubba).

### **Bioethical standards**

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Central Medical Ethics Committee of Latvia (Nr.1/19-02-12) on 12.02.2019. All patients involved in the study signed an informed consent form.

### **Results**

### **Patient groups**

This study was performed to identify miRNAs of interest in the urinary exomes of bladder cancer patients. Twelve patients were identified in bladder cancer groups (LG group 6 men, median age 71.5 years old vs. HG group 2 men, 4 women, median age 71.5 years old) and 6 patients in the healthy control group (6 men and 1 woman, median age 66 years old). For a more detailed view of the cohorts, see Table 1.

### **Differentially expressed urine exosome miRNAs in bladder cancer patients**

After comparison of the overall profiles of exomiRs in cancer patients' urine samples, differentially



**Figure 1.** *Number of differentially expressed miRNA in lowgrade and high-grade groups.*

**Table 2.** *Differentially expressed urine exosomal miRNAs and corresponding target genes in low grade bladder cancer patients group*





presented miRNAs with a cutoff of over 2-fold regulation (FR) or under –2 FR as compared to controls, the number of miRNAs corresponding to these cutoff values was 96 miRNAs in the LG group (93 up-regulated and 3 down-regulated) and 78 in the HG group (72 up-regulated and 6 down-regulated), respectively (see Figures 1, 2, and Tables 2, 3). Among these differentially presented miRNAs in the exosome, 42 miRNAs were found in both the LG and HG groups, and these miRNAs were all up-regulated in cancer patients.

### *TP53***- and** *PTEN***-related exosomal miRNAs**

The majority of miRNAs in the profiles of LG and HG groups are up-regulated. It suggests the possibility that many of these miRNAs can be involved in down-regulation of tumour suppressors (TS). Then we picked up *TP53* targeting and *PTEN* targeting miRNAs (Table 4).

Among up-regulated exomiRs, *TP53* targeting miR-NAs, which were found in the miRTargetLink database with robust validation, comprised 5 miRNAs in the LG group and 2 miRNAs in the HG group, and 4 miRNAs in the both the LG and HG groups (Table 4). **Table 3.** *Differentially expressed urine exosomal miRNAs and corresponding target genes in low grade bladder cancer patients group*





### **Table 4.** *Differentially expressed urine exosomal miRNAs that target TP53 and PTEN*



*PTEN* targeting miRNAs found in the miRTargetLink database with robust validation included 1 miRNA in the LG group and 3 miRNAs in the HG group, and 5 miRNAs in both the LG and HG groups (Table 4).



*HIF1A* 19449.99 *RACK1* 0.02620 *CDK1* 137 *BRCA1* 0.09232 *BRCA1* 130.10 *RPL12* 8.64560E+26 *CDK1* 137 *SIRT1* 441248 *CDKN2A* 18716.99 *SMAD4* 0.02619 *EZH2* 134 *UBA52* 0.09134 *SMAD3* 127.12 *PSMD4* 4.36227E+26 *EZH2* 134 *POLR2A* 438488 *SIRT1* 18499.02 *PIK3CA* 0.02619 *RACK1* 129 *SMAD3* 0.08997 *GSK3B* 126.31 *RPS5* 4.27637E+26 *RACK1* 128 *CDKN2A* 436768

0.09232 0.09134 0.08997

137 134 129

0.02620 0.02619 0.02619

RACK1

19449.99

130.10 127.12 126.31

441248 438488 436768

SIRT1

137 134 128

CDK1 EZH2

POLR2A CDKN2A

RACK1

4.27637E+26

RPS5

GSK3B

SMAD3 UBA52 BRCA1

RACK1 EZH2 CDK1

**PIK3CA** 

18499.02

SIRT1

18716.99 SMAD4

CDKN2A HIF1A

4.36227E+26 8.64560E+26

PSMD4 RPL12

SMAD3 BRCA1

والمسامعة

366

### Central European Journal of Urology

 $\sim$  $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 100$ 

 $\sim$  $\sim$  **Contractor** 





EPC – Edge Percolated Component; MCC – Maximal Clique Centrality; MNC – Maximum Neighborhood Component EPC – Edge Percolated Component; MCC – Maximal Clique Centrality; MNC – Maximum Neighborhood Component

# Central European Journal of Urology





# CENTRAL EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF UROLOGY





# EPC – Edge Percolated Component; MCC – Maximal Clique Centrality; MNC – Maximum Neighborhood Component

### **Pathway enrichment analysis of exosomal miRNAs**

The main results of pathway enrichment analysis of miRNAs with over 2 FR in the LG and HG groups are listed in Tables 5, 6. The enriched localisation of miRNAs comprosed exosome, microvesicle, and circulated with high significance in both the LG and HG groups. In the KEGG pathway and MNDR disease categories, mainly cancer-related subcategories were found.

We could not find statistically significant enriched pathways using the list of down-regulated miRNAs due to the small numbers of miRNAs in each group.

**Table 7.** *Key target genes of urinal exosomal miRNAs in lowgrade and high-grade groups*

Low grade	High grade
<b>TP53</b>	<b>TP53</b>
CTNNB1	AKT1
GAPDH	CTNNB1
AKT1	MYC
HSP90AA1	EGFR
MYC	JUN
EGFR	SRC
PTEN	IL6
<b>SRC</b>	PTEN
HSPA8	CDH1
ESR1	HSP90AA1
NOTCH1	STAT3
JUN	<b>HRAS</b>
MTOR	ESR1
KRAS	HIF1A
CDH1	CCND1
HIF1A	FN1
CDKN2A	VEGFA
<b>VEGFA</b>	CASP3
	NOTCH1
	ERBB2
	RHOA
	ILIB
	SMAD3
	<b>MTOR</b>
	<b>KRAS</b>
	PPARG
	MAPK1
	SMAD4
	FOXO3
	CDKN2A

### **Analysis of target genes of up-regulated miRNAs and their interactions**

A total of 1,425 predicted target genes were identified for 91 up-regulated miRNAs in the LG group and 805 target genes for 71 up-regulated miRNAs in the HG group. Using these genes, regulatory gene interaction networks were constructed. To select hub genes, the top 50 ranking genes were compared in each 4 methods of CytoNCA and CytoHubba (Figure 3, Tables 5, 6). The common genes in the list of CytoNCA and CytoHubba were extracted (Table 7). Nineteen hub genes in the LG up-regulated miRNA target group and 32 in the HG up-regulated miRNA target group were extracted. The 17 target genes were overlapped in both groups.

In both hub gene analyses with each 4 methods, *TP53* is ranked first except MCC of CytoHubba (ranked at 34) and *PTEN* was ranked between 6 and 11 in the LG (Table 5) and between 7 and 10 in the HG (Table 6).

### **Discussion**

Urine exosomes contain miRNAs from cells in the urinary tract [20]. The profile of the urine exomiRs is considered to reflect the cell condition. These miR-NAs are known to modulate other cells that receive the exosomes [7]. The aim of this study is to compare the urine exomiRs in the healthy control group, and the LG and the HG cancer patient groups to understand the cell-cell molecular information exchange and the cancer development.

During the study, several miRNAs that were not previously described as altered in BC were found.

These novel miRNAs are mir-let-7f-2-3p; mir-28-5p, mir-196b-3p; mir-450a-5p, mir-320b; mir-151a-3p, mir-20b-3p; mir-425-5p, mir-376c-3p; let-7e-3p, mir-24-2-5p, mir-181a-3p, mir-664a-3p, mir-331-3p, mir-99b-5p, mir-28-3p, mir-501-3p, mir-500a, mir-628-5p, mir-7-1-3p, mir-105-5p, mir-342-5p, mir-455-3p, mir-365a-3p, mir-181d-5p, mir-423-3p, and mir-548m. Moreover, the profile of differentially expressing exomiRs in BC in this study widely covers already reported BC-related miRNAs.

There are 2 patterns observed for up-regulated miR-NAs relative to the control group: 1) HG > LG or HG alone and 2)  $LG > HG$  or  $LG$  alone.

In the first pattern, highly expressed miRNAs include miR-126-3p, detected in plasma exosomes of HG BC patients [21] and BC urine samples [22]. MiR-126-3p acts as both oncogenic and a tumour suppressor depending on the tissues and tumourigenesis timing. It was proposed that mir-126-3p secretion into exosomes accelerates tumour development by stimulating angiogenesis and promoting oncotransformation of non-cancer cells [21].

Substantial up-regulation of miR-21-5p, miR-205-5p, and miR-141-3p in urine samples was detected in the case of BC and prostate cancer [23], which is similar to our results (Table 2).

Our results show upregulated miR-628-3p, which matched with studies on MIBC patients where elevated miR-628-3p levels in urine compared to plasma EVs was found, while no significant difference was noted in isolated MIBC tissues [21].

Up-regulation of miR-200 families in BC tissue has been reported and suggested as a marker of poor prognosis [24]. In this study, the miR-200 family were found as up-regulated exomiRs in both cancer groups.

In the case of the second regulatory model, the following results were found. MiR-210-3p overexpression in urine sediment from BC patients [25] contrasts with down-regulation in BC tissue, where it inhibits tumour growth and metastasis by acting on fibroblast growth factor receptor-like 1 [26].

Up-regulation of miR-31-3p and miR-31-5p, contrary to their previously reported down-regulation in urothelial BC tissues [27], is evident in our study. Juracek et al. found that urinary miR-31-5p levels were significantly higher in BC patients compared to healthy controls and patients with ccRCC. Moreover, miR-31-5p concentration decreased significantly in disease-free patients when urine was re-analysed 3 months after surgery compared to preoperative samples [28]. Overexpression of miR-31



**Figure 2.** *Volcano plots of comparison.* **A)** *Wild type low-grade to control group;* **B)** *wild type high-grade to control group;* **C)** *wild type low-grade to high-grade group.* 

in BC tissues was found to suppress BC cell proliferation by acting as a tumour suppressor [27].

Regarding miRNAs targeting *TP53* and *PTEN*, KEGG pathway analysis showed the gene targets by deregulated miRNAs and their role in biological processes. The results of this analysis proved the reliability of our results, because several of them are associated with different cancers. *TP53, AKT1, MYC*, and *PTEN* have been previously described as target genes in BC development [29], which was also reflected in our data (Table 7).

Previous investigations have highlighted correlation of *TP53* mutations with clinical features such as tumour grade, invasiveness, recurrence rate, and poor prognosis [30, 31].

In a meta-analysis of 7 studies, it was reported that *TP53* mutation was significantly higher in MIBC compared with NMIBC [32].

Comparing LG and HG profiles, miRNAs targeting *TP53* and *PTEN* exhibited higher fold regulation  $(FR)$  values in HG (Table 4), suggesting an acceler-

ated cancer development state compared to LG BC. Considering the modulatory potential of exosomal miRNAs on cell conditions [7, 32], it is plausible that miRNAs targeting tumour suppressors, including *TP53* and *PTEN*, contribute to the oncotransformation of normal bladder cells.

Our hypothesis aligns with observations that BC patients often exhibit hyperplasia, dysplasia, and multiple synchronous cancers. Multiple synchronous and metachronous BC has been explained by the intraepithelial migration of tumour cells and intraluminal seeding from a primary cancer [33]. Lindgren et al. note that synchronous cancers may not consistently display the same genomic rearrangements or mutations in specific genes [34], implying an alternative mechanism for inducing oncotransformation in normal bladder cells affected by BC. Urine exomiR profiles in this study suggest the potential role of these miRNAs in repressing *TP53* and *PTEN*, contributing to oncotransformation in normal cells exposed to exosomes from developed BC.



**Figure 3.** *Network of the target genes of up-regulated differentially expressed miRNAs in LG group and in HG group.*

A regulatory relationship between multiple miRNAs and *PTEN* has been reported [29], with miR-21, known for its significance in BC tumourigenesis, which regulates the proliferation and migration of cancer cells by its communication pathways with *PTEN* and *TP53*. Its overexpression has a suppressive effect on *TP53* [35, 36]. Furthermore miR-18a, miR-20a, miR-21, and miR-221 in network analysis were found to target *PTEN*, which in turn regulates miR-19a, miR-21, and miR-25, and as a consequence miR-25 targets *TP53* [37]. The strong connection of miR-205-5p with *PTEN* in the HG group further underscores the intricate regulatory networks involved (Table 4). However, the complexity of bladder tumours is evident because *PTEN* alterations alone may not consistently result in tumourigenesis [38, 39], emphasising the multifaceted changes in expression across various components, including the *AKT/PI3K/mTOR* pathway [40]. Our regulatory gene interaction networks highlight multiple interactions with the central hub gene *TP53* (Figure 3). These findings underscore the intricate molecular landscape of BC, emphasising the necessity for a comprehensive understanding of the interconnected pathways for improved diagnostic and therapeutic strategies.

The limitations of this study include the small number of patients. The association of specific miRNAs with specific genes was established using data from a database, but it lacked confirmation from biological studies. Nevertheless, our results demonstrate that the methods used were effective because many previously described miRNAs associated with BC were detected in our profile of BC exomiRs, as well as their downstream influence from both tumour suppressors and oncogenes. The majority of the urine exomiRs in BC patients were up-regulated, and their FRs compared with the control were high enough to distinguish between BC patients and healthy control groups. The identified miRNAs have potential value as biomarkers for BC detection.

Another issue of this study is that only urine samples were analysed, and neither serum nor urinary bladder tissues were examined. It would be worthwhile comparing the expression levels of differentially expressed miRNAs in BC tissues with urinary exosomes. The main challenge in identifying miRNAs that can serve as reliable biomarkers for BC is the widespread alteration of their expression in various conditions, including other malignancies and non-cancerous diseases [7, 23, 28, 32]. This lack of specificity hinders the validation and clinical utility of individual miRNAs as diagnostic or prognostic tools.

### **Conclusions**

In this study, a number of differently expressed miRNAs, which can potentially be used as diagnostic biomarkers, were identified, but this needs further work in a validation phase. Profiles of urinal exomiRs derived from bladder cancer manifested potentially epigenetic regulation of the *TP53* and *PTEN* genes as compared to other oncogenes and tumour suppressors.

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **FUNDING**

This study was funded by the Latvian Council of Science, grant number LZP-2020/2-0104 "Biomarkers for early diagnosis of bladder tumor".

### **ETHICS APPROVAL STATEMENT**

The study was approved by the Central Medical Ethics Committee of Latvia (Nr.1/19-02-12) on 12.02.2019.

### **References**

- 1. Xie Y, Ma X, Chen L, et al. MicroRNAs with prognostic significance in bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 5619.
- 2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021; 71: 209-249.
- 3. Hong SK. Biomarkers for bladder cancer: The search continues! Investig Clin Urol. 2016; 57: 73-74.
- 4. Liu Y, Ortiz-Bonilla C, Lee Y. Extracellular Vesicles in Bladder Cancer: Biomarkers

and Beyond. Int J Mol Sci. 2018; 19: 2822.

- 5. Milane L, Singh A, Mattheolabakis G, Suresh M, Amiji MM. Exosome mediated communication within the tumor microenvironment. J Control Release. 2015; 219: 278-294.
- 6. Shukla GC, Singh J, Barik S. MicroRNAs: Processing, Maturation, Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. Mol Cell Pharmacol. 2011; 3: 83-92.
- 7. Melo SA, Sugimoto H, O'Connell JT, et al. Cancer Exosomes Perform Cell-Independent MicroRNA Biogenesis

and Promote Tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell. 2014; 26: 707-721.

- 8. Weber JA, Baxter DH, Zhang S, et al. The MicroRNA Spectrum in 12 Body Fluids. Clin Chem. 2010; 56: 1733-1741.
- 9. Tilki D, Burger M, Dalbagni G, et al. Urine Markers for Detection and Surveillance of Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer. Eur Urol. 2011; 60: 484-492.
- 10. Ge Q, Zhou Y, Lu J, Bai Y, Xie X, Lu Z. miRNA in Plasma Exosome is Stable under Different Storage Conditions. Molecules. 2014; 19: 1568-1575.
- 11. Mengual L, Lozano JJ, Ingelmo-Torres M, Gazquez C, Ribal MJ, Alcaraz A. Using microRNA profiling in urine samples to develop a non-invasive test for bladder cancer. Int J Cancer. 2013; 133: 2631-2641.
- 12. Han Y, Chen J, Zhao X, et al. MicroRNA Expression Signatures of Bladder Cancer Revealed by Deep Sequencing. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6: e18286.
- 13. Tan S, Xia L, Yi P, et al. Exosomal miRNAs in tumor microenvironment. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2020; 39: 67.
- 14. Zhang X, Yuan X, Shi H, Wu L, Qian H, Xu W. Exosomes in cancer: small particle, big player. J Hematol Oncol. 2015; 8: 83.
- 15. Lee MT, Whitmore GA. Power and sample size for DNA microarray studies. Statistics in Medicine. 2002; 21: 3543-3570.
- 16. Kern F, Fehlmann T, Solomon J, et al. miEAA 2.0: integrating multi-species microRNA enrichment analysis and workflow management systems. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020; 48: W521-W528.
- 17. Kern F, Aparicio-Puerta E, Li Y, et al. miRTargetLink 2.0 – interactive miRNA target gene and target pathway networks. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021; 49: W409-W416.
- 18. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, et al. The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein–protein networks, and functional characterization of useruploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021; 49: D605-D612.
- 19. Tang Y, Li M, Wang J, Pan Y, Wu F. CytoNCA: A cytoscape plugin for centrality analysis and evaluation of protein interaction networks. Biosystems. 2015; 127: 67-72.
- 20. Cheng L, Sun X, Scicluna BJ, Coleman BM, Hill AF. Characterization and deep sequencing analysis of exosomal and non-exosomal miRNA in human urine. Kidney Int. 2014; 86: 433-444.
- 21. Sabo AA, Birolo G, Naccarati A, et al. Small Non-Coding RNA Profiling in Plasma Extracellular Vesicles of Bladder Cancer Patients by Next-Generation Sequencing: Expression Levels of miR-126-3p and piR-5936 Increase with Higher

Histologic Grades. Cancers (Basel). 2020;  $12 \cdot 1507$ 

- 22. Hanke M, Hoefig K, Merz H, et al. A robust methodology to study urine microRNA as tumor marker: microRNA-126 and microRNA-182 are related to urinary bladder cancer. Urologic Oncol. 2010; 28: 655-661.
- 23. Ghorbanmehr N, Gharbi S, Korsching E, Tavallaei M, Einollahi B, Mowla SJ. miR‐21‐5p, miR‐141‐3p, and miR‐205‐5p levels in urine – promising biomarkers for the identification of prostate and bladder cancer. Prostate. 2019; 79: 88-95.
- 24. Martínez-Fernández M, Dueñas M, Feber A, et al. A Polycomb-mir200 loop regulates clinical outcome in bladder cancer. Oncotarget. 2015; 6: 42258-42275.
- 25. Eissa S, Matboli M, Hegazy MG, Kotb YM, Essawy NO. Evaluation of urinary microRNA panel in bladder cancer diagnosis: relation to bilharziasis. Transl Res. 2015; 165: 731-739.
- 26. Yang X, Shi L, Yi C, Yang Y, Chang L, Song D. MiR-210-3p inhibits the tumor growth and metastasis of bladder cancer via targeting fibroblast growth factor receptor-like 1. Am J Cancer Res. 2017; 7: 1738-1753.
- 27. Xu T, Qin L, Zhu Z, et al. MicroRNA-31 functions as a tumor suppressor and increases sensitivity to mitomycin-C in urothelial bladder cancer by targeting integrin α5. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 27445-27457.
- 28. Juracek J, Peltanova B, Dolezel J, et al. Genome‐wide identification of urinary cell‐free microRNAs for non‐invasive detection of bladder cancer. J Cell Mol Med. 2018; 22: 2033-2038.
- 29. Canturk KM, Ozdemir M, Can C, et al. Investigation of key miRNAs and target genes in bladder cancer using miRNA profiling and bioinformatic tools. Mol Biol Rep. 2014; 41: 8127-8135.
- 30. Esrig D, Elmajian D, Groshen S, et al. Accumulation of Nuclear p53 and Tumor Progression in Bladder

Cancer. N Engl J Med. 1994; 331: 1259-1264.

- 31. Mitra AP, Datar RH, Cote RJ. Molecular Pathways in Invasive Bladder Cancer: New Insights Into Mechanisms, Progression, and Target Identification. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24: 5552-5564.
- 32. Alexander M, Hu R, Runtsch MC, et al. Exosome-delivered microRNAs modulate the inflammatory response to endotoxin. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 7321.
- 33. Hafner C, Knuechel R, Stoehr R, Hartmann A. Clonality of multifocal urothelial carcinomas: 10 years of molecular genetic studies. Int J Cancer. 2002; 101: 1-6.
- 34. Lindgren D, Gudjonsson S, Jee KJ, et al. Recurrent and multiple bladder tumors show conserved expression profiles. BMC Cancer. 2008; 8: 183.
- 35. Lei M, Xie W, Sun E, et al. microRNA-21 Regulates Cell Proliferation and Migration and Cross Talk with PTEN and p53 in Bladder Cancer. DNA Cell Biol. 2015; 34: 626-632.
- 36. Calderaro J, Rebouissou S, de Koning L, et al. PI3K/AKT pathway activation in bladder carcinogenesis. Int J Cancer. 2014; 134: 1776-1784.
- 37. Li Y, Xu Z, Wang K, Wang N, Zhu M. Network analysis of microRNAs, genes and their regulation in human bladder cancer. Biomedical Reports. 2013; 1: 918-924.
- 38. Tsuruta H, Kishimoto H, Sasaki T, et al. Hyperplasia and Carcinomas in Pten-Deficient Mice and Reduced PTEN Protein in Human Bladder Cancer Patients. Cancer Res. 2006; 66: 8389-8396.
- 39. Yoo LI, Liu DW, Vu SL, Bronson RT, Wu H, Yuan J. Pten Deficiency Activates Distinct Downstream Signaling Pathways in a Tissue-Specific Manner. Cancer Res. 2006; 66: 1929-1939.
- 40. Platt FM, Hurst CD, Taylor CF, Gregory WM, Harnden P, Knowles MA. Spectrum of Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase Pathway Gene Alterations in Bladder Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15: 6008-6017.